

Issued: September 24, 2021

Due: 11am, October 1, 2021

Official website: <http://spintwo.net/Courses/PHYS-581-Differential-Geometry-for-Physicists/>

Please work on this problem set on your own; it should be possible to complete it with the lecture notes and no other external help. If you have questions you can email the instructor, Jens Boos ([jboos@wm.edu](mailto:jboos@wm.edu)), or make use of the office hours on Monday, 10am–11am, Small 235 (*note: room change*). After you have completed the assignment please feel free to discuss it with other students.

## 1 Quick questions

(a) Which equations have mistakes in them?

$v^\mu = e^\mu_i v^i$

$v^\mu = e^\mu_i v^i$

$T_{ijk} = e^\mu_i e^\nu_j e^\rho_k T_{\mu\nu\rho}$

$M^i_j = e_\mu^i e^\nu_j M^\mu_\nu$

(b) Which of the following components belong to the tensor  $\underline{T} = x\partial_x \otimes dy - 2\partial_y \otimes dz$ ?

$T^x_y = x$

$T^y_x = x$

$T^z_z = 0$

$T^y_z = -2$

(c) Select all expressions that are identical.

$T^i_j \hat{e}_i \otimes \hat{\vartheta}^j$

$T^\mu_j \partial_\mu \otimes \hat{\vartheta}^j$

$T^i_\nu \hat{e}_i \otimes dx^\nu$

$T^\mu_\nu \partial_\mu \otimes dx^\nu$

(d) A  $\binom{2}{0}$  tensor  $\underline{T}$  is antisymmetric if its components satisfy  $T^{\mu\nu} = -T^{\nu\mu}$ . Which of these tensors are antisymmetric?

$\underline{T} = x\partial_x \otimes \partial_y - y\partial_y \otimes \partial_x$

$\underline{T} = x\partial_x \otimes \partial_y$

$\underline{T} = 0$

$\underline{T} = x(\partial_z \otimes \partial_y - \partial_y \otimes \partial_z)$

(e) How many independent components does an antisymmetric  $\binom{2}{0}$  tensor have in  $n$  dimensions?

3

$n^2$

$n(n-1)$

$n(n-1)/2$

## 2 Frames and coordinates

In the last assignment we introduced the tensor  $\underline{\eta}$ , which in the coordinates  $x^\mu = (r, \theta, \varphi)$  is

$$\underline{\eta} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu = dr \otimes dr + r^2 d\theta \otimes d\theta + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi. \quad (1)$$

(a) Consider now the abstract cobasis  $\hat{\vartheta}^j$  (with  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) given by

$$\hat{\vartheta}^1 = dr, \quad \hat{\vartheta}^2 = r d\theta, \quad \hat{\vartheta}^3 = r \sin \theta d\varphi. \quad (2)$$

Recall that we defined the object  $e_\mu^j$  via  $\hat{\vartheta}^j = e_\mu^j dx^\mu$ . Read off the coefficients  $e_\mu^j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e_r^1 &= & , & \quad e_r^2 = & , & \quad e_r^3 = & , \\ e_\theta^1 &= & , & \quad e_\theta^2 = & , & \quad e_\theta^3 = & , \\ e_\varphi^1 &= & , & \quad e_\varphi^2 = & , & \quad e_\varphi^3 = & . \end{aligned}$$

(b) Show by direct substitution that

$$\underline{\eta} = \hat{\vartheta}^1 \otimes \hat{\vartheta}^1 + \hat{\vartheta}^2 \otimes \hat{\vartheta}^2 + \hat{\vartheta}^3 \otimes \hat{\vartheta}^3. \quad (3)$$

(c) Read off the components of  $\underline{\eta}$  in this abstract basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{11} &= & , & \quad \eta_{12} = & , & \quad \eta_{13} = & , \\ \eta_{21} &= & , & \quad \eta_{22} = & , & \quad \eta_{23} = & , \\ \eta_{31} &= & , & \quad \eta_{32} = & , & \quad \eta_{33} = & . \end{aligned}$$

(d) The abstract basis and cobasis are dual to one another such that  $\hat{e}_i \lrcorner \hat{\vartheta}^j = \delta_i^j$ . Find the expressions for  $\hat{e}_i$  expanded in terms of the coordinate basis  $\partial_\mu$  and verify that they are dual to one another. Example:  $\hat{e}_1 = \partial_r$  such that  $\hat{e}_1 \lrcorner \hat{\vartheta}^1 = \partial_r \lrcorner dr = \delta_r^r = 1$ .

(e) The abstract basis  $\hat{e}_i$  is related to the coordinate basis  $\partial_\mu$  via  $\hat{e}_i = e^\mu_i \partial_\mu$ . Using the results from (d), read off the “vielbein” coefficients  $e^\mu_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} e^r_1 &= & , & \quad e^r_2 = & , & \quad e^r_3 = & , \\ e^\theta_1 &= & , & \quad e^\theta_2 = & , & \quad e^\theta_3 = & , \\ e^\varphi_1 &= & , & \quad e^\varphi_2 = & , & \quad e^\varphi_3 = & . \end{aligned}$$

(f) Finally, verify that the coefficients  $e^\mu_i$  and  $e_\nu^j$  really satisfy  $e^\mu_i e_\mu^j = \delta_i^j$  as well as  $e^\mu_i e_\nu^i = \delta_\nu^\mu$ .